



E-ISSN: 2706-8927
P-ISSN: 2706-8919
www.allstudyjournal.com
IJAAS 2020; 2(3): 738-741
Received: 26-03-2020
Accepted: 24-04-2020

Sunil Sahu
Department of Zoology, U R
College Rosera, Samastipur,
Bihar, India

Prevalence of gastrointestinal helminth infections in chickens of selected areas of Darbhanga region of north Bihar India

Sunil Sahu

Abstract

Poultry rearing is one of the most appropriate income generating activities for rural women especially for landless and marginal farmers. The production of backyard poultry under Semi scavenging system is found suitable to the villagers as additional source of income and nutrient supplement. Backyard poultry is popular among rural people. However, the poultry production is hindered by many problems among which infectious diseases are most important. In fact the indigenous chickens of Bangladesh are parasitized by various parasites. Very few studies have been undertaken so far to determine the prevalence of gastrointestinal helminth infection in indigenous chickens in various regions. This paper describes the prevalence of gastrointestinal helminths infection in poultry.

Keywords: Prevalence, gastrointestinal helminthes, pathology, chickens.

Introduction

In recent years an ecological approach has been emphasized in order to understand the basic principles of parasitology, because the concepts of ecology in the study of parasites have been ignored in the past. Poultry rearing is one of the most appropriate income generating activities for rural women especially for landless and marginal farmers. The production of backyard poultry under Semi scavenging system is found suitable to the villagers as additional source of income and nutrient supplement (Latif, 2001) [38]. Backyard poultry is popular among rural people. However, the poultry production is hindered by many problems among which infectious diseases are most important (Ojok, 1993) [40]. In fact the indigenous chickens of Bangladesh are parasitized by various parasites. This becomes especially important in the context that the relationships between the parasites and their hosts may be viewed as "ecological" because the host provides the environment in which the parasite lives, and as such the host's environment, be it tropical jungle, a freshwater pond or even the open sea or ocean, affects the parasites through its host. Furthermore, this becomes quite apparent to believe, rather confirm, that the parasite, be it an endoparasite or ectoparasite, synthesizes its enzymes for digestion or other metabolic function from the constituents provided by the host's tissues, particularly the endoparasitic digenetic trematodes, tapeworms and nematodes too. Rather the food pre-digested by the host is absorbed via ultramicroscopic microvilli and other microscopic structures present on or immediately beneath the body surface of the trematodes or cestodes and used within their body. Of course, the ecology of parasites is not only limited to meet their nutritional requirements but also equally interesting to study the ecologic factors that influence the distribution of parasites and the parasite density- that is, in all, the parasite population dynamics. In recent years, some quantitative ecologists especially parasite ecologists viz. Force (1975) [9], Jennings & Callow (1975) [16], Cheng (1986) [3] and others have proposed that what have been designated as 'r'-and 'k'- selection could be conceptually useful in understanding the evolution of parasites. By definition, r-selection occurs where the factors comprising the selective forces on the organisms (r-strategists) are unstable and the environmental conditions are variable. On the other hand k- selection occurs where the factors acting on the organism (k-strategists) are relatively stable over a period of time. Species that are r-strategists are characterized by high fecundity rates, high mortality rates, short life span and the population sizes that are variable in time usually below the carrying capacity of the environment. On the other hand, species that are k-strategists are characterized by low fecundity and mortality, longer life spans, and relatively stable Population sizes.

Corresponding Author:
Sunil Sahu
Department of Zoology, U R
College Rosera, Samastipur,
Bihar, India

Thus population of r-strategists is controlled by density-independent factors (IDDF) while k-strategists are controlled by density-dependent factors (DDF). For example, digenetic trematodes and cestodes are r-strategists since they have high biotic potential and high mortality as a result of the selection-pressure present in their unstable environment as manifest in different habitats practically at every phase of their complex life cycle.

Factors Influencing Parasite Density and Parasite Distribution:-

1. **Flora:** Vegetation that serves as food and shelter for hosts, both intermediate and definitive, greatly influences the parasite population. This is particularly evident in the case of helminth parasites. For example, various aquatic molluscan hosts of digenetic trematodes survive only where plants in the water or/and land and deciduous trees on the banks are abundant. Some of the aquatic plants provide not only food but also oxygen for the mollusca. Leaves dropping from the trees often serve as food for aquatic snails. If such flora are sparse or absent, the molluscan population will decline and the likelihood that the trematodes will complete their life cycles is proportionally diminished.
2. **Fauna:** Since parasitism can occur only when two distinct & different species enter the symbiotic relationship, the presence and abundance of the species are of critical importance. In nature, the presence of prey is absolutely necessary to carnivores and indirectly influences the parasite density. The importance of the faunistic population forming the food web, therefore, is obvious. For example, molluscs may serve as food, even cercariae released in water or some copepods too for fishes, and fishes too for carnivorous birds or mammals which act as definitive hosts. Some species of animals are migratory. This feature of hosts has important implications relative to parasite distribution. Hosts may carry their parasites with them but in time they may tend to lose most of the original parasites and pick up new ones that are endemic to the new habitats. Water plays a major role in the maintenance of many types of parasite fauna. This is obvious from the fact that absence of water would impede the development of a large number of helminths that use aquatic invertebrates (mollusks/copepods) as well as some vertebrates as intermediate hosts for completing their life cycle. Moreover, the infective forms of many parasites such as flatworms (trematods and cestodes) are free-swimming and require water in which they migrate and reach their hosts, for example- miracidium and cercariae of digenetic trematodes and ciliated coracidium of tapeworm. Furthermore, not only is water of prime importance in the maintenance of certain parasites but its physical state may also be influential. Besides, the physical factors such as temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$), pO_2 and PCO_2 concentrations, pH, salinity and even mineral contents- all influence the number and survival rates of intermediate hosts.
3. **Behavior of the hosts:** In addition to the above factors, the feeding and other behavior pattern of hosts also affect the parasite density. For example, the predatory feeding habits of many definitive hosts make possible the active intake of larval parasites that can only

complete their development after being ingested by the host. From the foregoing account it should be clear that a multitude of factors such as flora, fauna, water and its physical factors as well as the behavior pattern of the hosts are all important in governing the parasite fauna.

4. **Influence of seasons:** During collection of host animals from one type of habitat throughout the year it is appreciably found that fluctuations in both the number and kind of parasites occur throughout the seasons. This is especially true in temperate climates where the more marked seasonal changes are sharply reflected in the biotic organic life. To quote Dogiel (1964) ^[4] "the study of the relationship between the parasite fauna taken as one unit and the changes in the environmental and physiological conditions of the host as the other" constitutes what is called, in a better way, "Ecological Parasitology" Indeed the most important factors that influence the composition of the parasite fauna of any host animal include the host's age and seasonal changes. India recorded the fastest growth rate in poultry meat production during 1985-95 with a growth rate about 18% per annum which perhaps, no other country or agro- industry in the world, has recorded during that period. At present more than 400 million broiler chicks are produced annually. Intensive rising of poultry in commercial farms inevitably exposes flock to the various diseases which cause mortality of the birds and loss to the farmers. Diseased birds can also be hazardous to the human health on being taken in food. In India, huge loss of birds due to diseases is being felt by poultry farmers due to management related problems. Poultry carry heavy infection of varied types of parasites, i.e. helminth, protozoans, virus and arthropods etc of all there and particularly the intestinal parasitic helminthes have a serious impact on poultry health productivity, quality and quantity of meat. Further, Helminth parasites of broiler as well as desi birds are commonly divided into three main groups; cestode, nematode and trematode. Of these the cestodes of significant importance belong to two genera *Raillietina* and *Davainea*, and the nematodes include *Ascaridia* and *Heterakis*, thus constituting the most important group of helminth parasites in poultry (Naphade, 2013) ^[21, 22, 23]. Remarkably, no trematodes or any acanthocephala was recovered. The prevalence and intensity of parasitic helminths may be influenced by several factors, such as intermediate hosts and climatic conditions (temperature and humidity) etc which may alter the population dynamics of the parasites resulting in dramatic changes in the prevalence and intensity of helminths infection (Magwisha, Kassuku and Kyusgaard 2002) ^[18]. Many insects that usually act as vectors for helminths are also favoured by high temperature and to some extent humidity. These factors may explain the wide range and distribution of cestode and nematode species in poultry birds, especially during the tropical summer season (Dube Zindi, Mbanga and Dube 2010) ^[5]. As such the studies on the helminth parasites in chickens are needed to design for improvement of poultry eggs and meat production, because the parasitic helminths significantly affect the health of chickens and thereby causing stunted and reduced egg and meat production.

Conclusion

The present study has definitely shown that the helminthic infections in desi and broiler poultry birds as host are closely associated with the temperature of the habitats and feeding habits of the birds host particularly during their growth period as marked by their weight. Therefore, such parasite studies are useful for a better understanding of ecological aspects of helminth parasites in poultry birds as host particularly of the study area. Such information may be useful in designing improved poultry management in the area. Villagers rarely deworm their chickens as we have also observed that more than 90% chickens are infected with gastrointestinal helminths. Such a high burden will not only decrease the production potentials of the highly valuable indigenous chicken but will also cause mortality rendering serious economic loss to poor farmers. So, regular dowering at three months interval with appropriate anthelmintics is recommended against both nematodes and cestodes.

References

1. Ashenafi H, Esshtu Y. Study on Gastrointestinal Helminths of local Chickens in central Ethiopia. *Journal of Veterinary medicine* 2004; 155(10):591-659.
2. Baboolal V, Suratsingh V, Adesiyum AA, babu AK. The prevalence of intestinal helminthes in broiler chickens in Trinidad. *Vet Arhiv.* 2012; 82(6):504-507.
3. Cheng TC. *General Parasitology*, Academic Press, INC London Ltd 1986.
4. Dogiel VA. *General Parasitology*, Oliver & Bond, Edinburgh and London 1964, 231-91.
5. Dube S, Zindi P, Mbanga J, Dube C. A study of Scavenging Poultry Gastrointestinal and Ecto-parasites in Rural Areas of Matebelel and Province, Zimbabwe. *International Journal of Poultry Sciences* 2010; 9(9):911-915.
6. Eshetu Y, Muluaem E, Ibrahim H, Berhanu A, Aberra K. Study of gastro-intestinal helminthes of scavenging chickens in four rural districts of Amharagegion, Ethiopia. *Revision Science Techniques Office International Epizootic* 2001; 20(3):791-796.
7. Eslami A, Ghaemi P, Rahbari S. Parasitic infections of free-range chickens from Golestan Provinces Iran. *Iran J. Parasitol* 2009; 4:10-14.
8. Faizullah, Ahmed S, Babar S, Fareed SK, Kakar MA, Ziaulhaq Jan S. Helminthosis of rural poultry in Quetta, Pakistan. *Eurasian J. Vet. Sci* 2013; 29(2):103-105.
9. Force DC. Succession of r & k Strategists in parasitoids. In "Evolutionary strategies of parasitic Insects & mites (P.W. Price, ed.); 112-129, Plenum, New York 1975.
10. Hamad H, Al-Jamaien. Helminth Parasites in the intestinal Tract of Indigenous Chickens in Jordanian Villages. *Pakistan Journal of Nutrition* 2013; 12:209-212.
11. Hailu Assefa. The role of poultry for poor livelihoods in Ethiopia. *Int J Vet Sci Anim Husbandry* 2019;4(3):01-04.
12. Hassouni T, Belghyti D. Distribution of gastrointestinal helminth in chicken farms in the Gharb region-Morocco. *Parasitol Res* 2006; 99:181-183.
13. Heyradin Hussien, Haseen Chaka, Yosef Deneke, MolalegneBitew. Gastrointestinal Helminths Are Highly Prevalent in Scavenging Chickens of Selected Districts of Eastern Shewa Zone, Ethiopia, *Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences*, 2012; 15:284-289.
14. Horning G, Rasmussen S, Permin A, Bisgaard M. Investigation on the influence of helminth parasite on vaccination of chickens against Newcastle disease virus under village condition. *Trop Anim Health Prod* 2013; 35:415-24.
15. Irungu LW, Kamani RN, Kisia SM. Helminth parasites in the intestinal tract of indigenous poultry in parts of Kenya. *Tydskr S. Afr. Vet. Ver* 2004; 75(1):58-59.
16. Jennings JB. The relationship between high fecundity and the evolution of entoparasitism. *Oecologia* 1975; 21:33.
17. Kaingu F, Kibor A, Shivairo R, Kutima H, Okeno T. Prevalence of gastro-intestinal helminthes and coccidia in indigenous chickens from different agro-climatic zones in Kenya. *African Journal Agricultural Research* 2010; 5(6):458-462.
18. Magwisha H, Kassuku A, Kyusgaard N, Permina A. A comparison of the prevalence and burdens of helminth infections in growers and adult free range chickens. *Tropical Animal Health Production* 2002; 34(3):205-214.
19. Matur B, Dawam NMY. Gastrointestinal Helminths Parasite of local and Exoit Chickens slaughtered in Gwagwalada. *Nigeria New York Science Journal* 2010; 3(5):96-99.
20. Mungube EO, Bauni SM, Tenhagen BA, Wamae LW, Nzioka SM, Muhammed L, *et al.* Prevalence of parasites of the local scavenging chickens in a selected semi-arid zone of Eastern Kenya. *Trop. Anim. Health Prod* 2007; 40:101-109.
21. Naphade ST. Studies on the prevalence of helminthic infection in broiler poultry birds from Marthwada region, (MS) India. *Science Research Reporter Biosciences* 2013; 3(2):233-238.
22. Naphade ST, Chaudhary KV. Prevalence of Parasite Helminths in Broiler Chickens. *Weekly Science Research Journal* 2013; 1(18):2321-2371.
23. Naphade ST, Chaudhary KV. Studies on the Seasonal Prevalence of Parasitic Helminths in Gavran (Desi) Chickens. *International Journal of Fauna and Biological Studies* 2013; 1(2):4-7.
24. Anil Kumar Jha. Histopathological studies of tapeworm *Raillietina tetragona* (Molin, 1858) from the gastro-intestine of indigenous chicken (*Gallus domesticus* L.) farming in Kirtipur, Nepal. *Int J Vet Sci Anim Husbandry* 2019;4(4):01-06.
25. Rayyan A, Al-Hindi A. Occurrence of Gastrointestinal Helminths in Commercial and Free-Range Chickens in Ganga Strip. *Egypt Poultry Science* 2010; 30(2):601-606.
26. Sahu Sunil, Sinha KP. Studies on the prevalence of helminthic infection in desi poultry birds from Darbhanga region of North Bihar, India. *International Journal of Fauna and Biological Studies* 2016; 3(3):87-90.
27. Sahu Sunil, Sinha KP. Studies on the prevalence of helminthic infection in broiler poultry birds from Darbhanga region of North Bihar, India. *International Journal of Fauna and Biological Studies.* 2016; 3(4):15-18.
28. Sayyed R, Phulan M, Bhatti W, Pardehi M, Ali S. Incidence of nematode parasites in commercial layers

- in swat. Pakistan Veterinary Journal 2000; 20(2):107-108.
29. Shahin AM, Lebdan MA. Prevalence of Chicken Cestodiasis in Egypt. New York Science Journal 2011; 4(9):21-29.
 30. Soulsby EJ. Helminthes Arthropods and Protozoa of Domesticated Animals (7thedn). Bailliere Tindall, east Sussex.
 31. Tesfaheywet Z, Amare E, Hailu Z. Helminth parasite of domestic fowl (*Gallus domesticus* L.) South Eastern Ethiopia J Vet Adv 2012; 2(9):97-104.
 32. Wilson KL, Yazwinski TA, Tucker CA, Johson ZB. A survey into the Prevalence of poultry Helminths in Northwest Akansas Commercial Broiler Chickens. Avain Diseases 1994; 38(1):158-160.
 33. Yadav AK, Tandon V. Helminth parasites of domestic fowl in subtropical high-rainfall area of India. BEITR Trop LandwirthchVeterinarmed 1991; 29:462-468.
 34. Yoriyo KP, Adang KL, Fabiyi JP, Adamu SU. Helminthes parasites of local chickens in Bauchi State. Nigeria Science World Journal. 2008; 3(2):35-37.
 35. Ferdushy T, Hasan MT, Kadir AKM. Cross sectional epidemiological invesigation on the prevalence of gastrointestinal helminths in free range chickens in Narsingdi district, Bangladesh. Journal of Parasitic Disease 2014. DOI:10.1007/s12639-014-0585-5.
 36. Mekibib B, Dejene H, Sheferaw D. Gastrontestinal helminthes of scavenging chickens in outskirts of Hawassa, Southern Ethiopia. Global Veterinaria 2014; 12(4):557-561.
 37. Guclu F. Helminth fauna of fowls, Turkeys, ducks, and geese in the Ankara area. *Doa-Turk- Veterinarlik-Vc-Hyavncilik-Dorgisi* 1994; 18:79-86.
 38. Latif MA. Microcredits and Savings of Rural Household of Bangladesh. The Bangladesh Development Studies 2001; 27:51-71.
 39. Mukaratirwa S, Khumalo MP. Prevalence of helminth parasites in free- range chickens from selected rural communities in Kwazulu- Natal province of South Africa. Journal of South African Veterinary Association 2010; 81:2.
 40. Ojok L. Disease as important factor affecting increased poultry production in Uganda. *Der TropenlandwirZeiischrift fur die landwiirtschaft in din Trapen and Subtrapen* 1993; 94:37-44.
 41. Permin A, Hansen JW. Epidemiology Diagnosis and control of poultry parasites. Food and Agricultural organization of the United nations, Rome 1998.
 42. Rabbi AKMA, Islam A, Majumder S, Anisuzzaman, Rahman MH. Gastrointestinal helminths infection in different types of poultry. Bangladesh Journal of Veterinary Medicine 2006; 4(1):13-18.
 43. Romanenko PT, Troenko YAIA, Kuzyakin AV. Age variation in helminth infection on chicken farm and factors farm in the Roostov region. *Nahrung* 1985; 29:304-310.
 44. Sarker AJ. The prevalence of avian diseases in Bangladesh Agricultural University farm. Bangladesh Veterinary journal 1976; 10:61-66.
 45. Soulsby EJJ. Helminth Arthropods and Protozoa of Domesticated Animals. 7thedn, Bailliere Tindal and Cassell Ltd. London 1982.
 46. Thursfield M. *Veterinary Epidemiology*. 2nd edn, Blackwell science, USA 1995, 39-41.
 47. Wakelin D. A survey of the intestinal helminth parasites in British domestic fowls. Journal of Helminthology 1964; 38:191-200.
 48. Hange RR, Roate YV, Jayraw AK. Prevalence of helminth parasites in desi fowl (*Gallus gallusdomesticus*) at Parbhani. Journal of Parasite Diseases. 2007; 31(1):61-64.
 49. Noble ER. Parasitology: The Biology of Animal Parasites 5th ed. Lea &Febiger, Philadelphia 1982.