



E-ISSN: 2706-8927
P-ISSN: 2706-8919
www.allstudyjournal.com
IJAAS 2020; 2(3): 406-412
Received: 16-07-2020
Accepted: 17-08-2020

Fr. Baiju Thomas
Ramakrishna mission
Vivekananda educational and
research institute, Faculty of
disability management and
special education, Vidyalaya
Campus, SRKV Post,
Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu,
India.

Critical analysis of attitudes of special educators towards inclusive education: A review study

Fr. Baiju Thomas

Abstract

The main goal of this study is to control the critical analysis of attitudes of special educators towards inclusive education (IE) in a review study. The purpose of this study was to adjust the attitudes of special classroom educators regarding several aspects of IE. The study examines attitudes detained by teachers, their basics of knowledge, attitudes, and experiences that outline their attitudes; and possible execution approaches that are foretold to be prosperous as predicted by stated educators' attitude. Special Educators' attitude has been revealed to be a significant sign of the accomplishment of inclusion. Yet, students with disabilities as a group endure to not encounter potentials on state consistent testing. Undoubtedly, even though schools are performing inclusion, they may not be undergoing achievement. This study commenced more than hundreds of reviews and critically analyzed the attitudes of special educators towards IE. These reviews make available a critical analysis of means for organizing suitable processes for assessing educators' attitudes towards IE. It may be beneficial within the academic practice to discover potential barriers to IE, to recognize specific preparation needs for more effective implementation of inclusive policy, or to evaluate the change in special educators' attitudes. Educators' beliefs and acceptance of the policy and philosophy of IE are important interpreters of the degree to which they carry out inclusive practices. The emotional and behavioral modules of educators' attitudes towards inclusion should be particular to future studies. Besides, features that in this study have been renowned as theoretically encouraging the outlooks of the educators, such as the nature and severity of their students' disabilities, educators' earlier experience with SwDs and contextual factors related to the individual schools, should be included in future investigations. A majority of the educators who partaken in this study uttered a need for changes in public schools to encounter the needs of SwDs, and they mainly highlighted the significance of enlarged understanding and skills that could enable them as specialists. At this point the author certifies enhancing the roles and duties of special educators to be more flexible to their attitudes towards IE.

Keywords: Inclusive Education, Special Educators, Attitudes and Persons with Disabilities

Introduction

The present study was envisioned to review the attitudes of special educators toward inclusive education. The significance of special educator attitudes essentially may seem self-evident. If an educator does not want a specific child in his/her classroom, it is challenging to understand in what way any volume of further means or training could save the settlement from being a failure. The mere existence of means, such as information or support, alone cannot control the result. It is also essential for the educator to practice these means to achieve a strong-minded aim. In the meantime of their status, special educators' attitudes towards inclusion have been studied widely for centuries (Avramidis & Norwich, 2002^[2], de Boer, Pijl, & Minnaert 2011^[16]). Educator attitudes are deeply linked with teacher groups, so special-education educators have often been the most promising group (Engelbrecht, Savolainen, Nel, & Malinen, 2013^[32], Pearson, Lo, Chui, & Wong, 2003)^[39]. School heads have also been further positive than educators (Boyle *et al.*, 2013; Center & Ward, 1987)^[12], and primary school educators have been more positive than secondary school educators (Alvarez McHatton & McCray, 2007^[33], Chiner & Cardona, 2013^[13]). In general, it acts that there have been several diverse attitudes whispered by educators towards inclusion in turn its framework. It looks that the ultimate overall attitude held by educators currently is that partial inclusion is positive for students, but that there is a need at the moment, and perhaps continuously will be, to endure to deliver a variety of resources that occasionally may cover more protective settings for students. It also seems that schools may exercise inclusion at diverse levels, but what appears to lead to the most effective inclusion surroundings are suitable for teaching, provision, and teamwork for all school staff. Finally, the welfares of inclusion seem boundless. However there is immobile work to do to safeguard all these welfares are established, schools should be satisfied of in what way far they have come

Corresponding Author:
Fr. Baiju Thomas
Ramakrishna mission
Vivekananda educational and
research institute, Faculty of
disability management and
special education, Vidyalaya
Campus, SRKV Post,
Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu,
India.

from in providing suitable and essential education for SwDs that welfares the entire school, and society as well. Positive educator attitudes are vital for achievement when SwDs are located in mainstream classrooms. The attitudes towards inclusion had only feeble relations with variables other than the educator group. Teachers' work settlement and self-efficacy had short associations with their attitudes towards inclusion. The results of reviews establish the attitudinal climate of educators towards inclusion and entitle the present potential for strategy distinction. Understanding intentions, such as these, for educators' positive or negative attitudes towards inclusion, is important to enable academic experts to endure educators in executing classrooms that are encouraging to IE. This review reflects the available forms that capture educators' attitudes towards inclusive, with the goal of recognizing appropriate processes for academic experts to usage in understanding educators' attitudes and to sustain them in teaching SwDs.

The concept of inclusive education

Inclusion in the contextual of education is fixated on the concept that all children should study together, irrespective of alterations or disability. IE initiates with the evidence that all learners have exclusive features, benefits, capabilities, and specific learning needs and, more, that SwDs must have equivalent access to and obtain specific accommodation in the common education system. IE methods variances and variety positively, identifying the worth of such changes and the learning occasions that such variety proposals. Inclusion suggests evolution from distinct, set apart learning settings for SwDs replicated in the "special education" method, to education in the regular education system. Operative changeovers from special education methods to IE require cautious preparation and essential changes to safeguard that SwDs are not located within the general or normal school system without the suitable accommodation and provisions that make sure an IE atmosphere. IE can be well-defined as the inclusion of all children and young people irrespective of any individual changes, together with race, civilization, disability, gender, sexual orientation, linguistic, or socioeconomic status (Polat, 2011) ^[40]. It is promising that the increase in the number of students with compound needs may affect educators' attitudes towards the inclusion of these students, with educators perhaps feeling under-resourced to deliver sustain for these children (Goodman & Burton, 2010) ^[22]. On the other hand, the understanding of inclusion has extended conquests in other arenas. In specific, it has invigorated a study on the enhancement of schools to become greater to several student bodies (EADSNE, 2012b) ^[18]. In this study, positive teacher attitudes have been recognized as one of the key matters (EADSNE, 2003) ^[19]. It has been determined that "inclusion mainly be contingent on educators' attitudes towards students with SEN, their opinion of variances in classrooms and their readiness to reply definitely and efficiently to those changes" (EADSNE, 2003, p. 15) ^[19]. Supporting inclusion means motivating conversation, reassuring positive attitudes and enhancing academic and social agendas to deal with new demands in the education system and control. It includes enhancing efforts, procedures and surroundings to adoptive learning both at the level of the student in his/her learning atmosphere and at the structure level to sustain the whole learning experience. Its attainment rests on administrations' readiness and abilities

to adopt pro-poor strategies, addressing matters of equity in public outlays on education, evolving overlap connections, and forthcoming IE as a basic element of enduring learning. IE is a procedure of establishing the capacity of the education structure to spread out to all students and can thus be assumed as a key approach to achieve education for all. As a whole standard, it should exclusive all education methods and realizes, early from the statement that education is a basic human right and the setting up for a more just and equal society.

The notion on attitude

The notion of "attitude" has been used extensively in everyday living communication and learning. An "attitude" has a reasoning, affective, and behavior element; it is bipolar, and it is a reply to an incentive. The individual estimate may outcome in a bipolar continuum of attitude. The attitude is then revealed through a physical or communicative expression. Attitude also can prime to behavior purpose and action. The experimental referent must quantify these organized. Many authors contend that an "attitude" cannot be openly stately; we can only assume information about an attitude based on activities and verses (Dawson, 1992; Henerson, Morris, & Fitz-Gibbon, 1987) ^[41, 27]. Attitudes are a psychological concept that denotes to favorable or unfavorable calculations of persons, matters, spaces, or actions. They are made up of three features: a cognitive element (how we reflect about X), an emotional element (how we sense about X), and a behavioral element (how we turn towards X). While modern psychological descriptions incorporate these three features, in the common manner of speaking the term 'attitudes' is frequently used to state to the cognitive element alone, and less so to emotions and movements or behaviors. The affecting domain is incidental from the reasoning and/or social domain. Besides, defendant prejudice, or the self-awareness of the defendant, affects what is seen or heard. "Attitudes, like all emotional concepts, are dormant, we cannot perceive them straight. Thus all attitude possessions are effort on those attitudes being learned in obvious reactions" (Albarracin, Johnson, & Zanna, 2005, p. 22) ^[1]. It is intensely advised that the true dimension of an attitude is not potential. An attitude may be yonder the aware response of the individual or he or she might not select to disclose it (in part, entirely, or fairly). If an attitude is contingent through the dimension of its features, and the determined of study is understanding, the dimension of individual attributes might main to identification of where to impact an attitude.

Attitudes toward inclusive education

Research studies highlighting that one of the most important structures for implementing effective inclusion of SwSENs are the attitudes of the normal education educators concerning inclusion. In the get up of the modern effort towards the inclusion of CwDs in general schools, the associated matter of educators' attitude rests a serious task (Gyimah, 2006) ^[25]. The significance of educator attitudes essentially may appear self-evident. If an educator does not want a specific child in her classroom, it is problematic to understand how any amount of further means or training could save the location from being a failure. The mere presence of means, such as understanding or support, alone cannot limit the result. It is also essential for the educator to use these resources to achieve a determined aim. Because of

their significance, educators' attitudes towards combination or inclusion have been studied broadly for periods (Avramidis & Norwich, 2002, de Boer, Pijl, & Minnaert, 2011) ^[2, 16]. Studies also highlight that many school educators do not favor inclusion because they feel improvised to encounter the demands and tasks of SwDs (Blecker & Boakes, 2010, Brackenreed, 2011, Fuchs, 2010) ^[7, 10, 21]. It is equally significant to perceive that one-third of the educators in these studies discovered that they felt ill-equipped in necessary skills needed to encounter the needs of SwDs and also felt that they required time and means needed for effective teaching in an inclusive atmosphere. In other words, educators equally the indicator of inclusion, but the ground usefulness of today's education is or else (Van Reusen, Shoho & Baker, 2001) ^[4]. IE frequently includes a team teaching method in which the general classroom educator works collaboratively with the special education enabler to develop educational policies and assessment policies precise to the learning needs of each student (IDEA, 2004). The application of this study was on the attitudes that general classroom educators have toward the understandable academic model, IE. IE is an academic model in which SwDs are engaged in the general classroom atmosphere to study the age-appropriate program in the same classroom environment as their non-disabled peers. IE includes bringing sustain services to the student in the general classroom environment, relatively than having the student obtain support services in an inaccessible environment distant from nondisabled peers (Kilanowski-Press, Foote, & Rinaldo, 2010) ^[30]. A review of the literature describes that whole, educators ponder in the idea of inclusion. The studies offer that educators like what inclusion classrooms do for their students and they are generally determined in aiding students in such a method. Though, studies also show that educators do not have assurance in they are getting sufficient support and training in what way to teach an inclusion classroom. It is this absence of support and training which avoids them from being the most effective educators in the inclusion condition. The educators who are ill-prepared or tender with the notion of inclusion may pass that displeasure onto the students, which in turn can challenge the self-assurance and victory of those students. On the other hand, educators who sustain and have a declaration in the esteemed of inclusion can supply special education students with the declaration and relaxed learning environments in inclusive classrooms.

Factors that impact attitudes

To be effective in IE, educators do not only need to have facilities and understanding but also they must have positive attitudes and concerns for their labor in this arena. This is vital for the declaration of an inclusive future in the classrooms. The effective execution of IE mainly is contingent on the attitudes and concerns of educators towards inclusion policy (Avramidis E, Norwich B, 2002) ^[2]. The in-service educators designated that they had low understanding and assurance in teaching special education students. In a qualitative study, educators stated prevention and guilt because of time that they offer special education students' associates to less time over on general education students (Horne & Farrell, 2011) ^[23]. The time required to appear extra meetings, whole paperwork, and cooperate with experts was unjust when related to the time enthusiastic to the other students in the class. The in-service educators

designated that they had low understanding and assurance in teaching special education students. In a qualitative study, educators stated prevention and guilt because of time that they offer special education students' associates to less time over on general education students (Horne & Farrell, 2011) ^[23]. The attitude of educators is also encouraged by many variables and associated concerns like kind, severity level, and nature of disability in children, the basis of educators, and accessibility of resources (Bradshaw L, Mundia L, 2006) ^[9]. Educator attitudes and perceptions play a great role in subsidizing the achievement of inclusive practices in the opinion of the element that educators are the ones who have the major duty for executing them. It has been claimed that educators' opinions and attitudes are essential in making sure the victory of inclusive practices, as educators' approval and support (or absence thereof) for inclusion, is expected to upset their promise to executing it (Norwich, 1994) ^[2]. It is therefore vital that both the mainstream and tracker educators have positive attitudes on the way to inclusion, as the achievement of inclusive policies joints upon the promise and collaboration of those most straight involved (Avramidis, Bayliss, & Burden, 2000) ^[3]. In addition to educator variables, environmental issues seem to have influence in the design of educator attitudes toward inclusion. A main and constant feature (Clough & Lindsay, 1991) ^[14] connected with further positive attitudes is the availability of support facilities at the classroom and school levels. Educators (both mainstream and tracker) with helpful attitudes towards inclusion would be estimated to welcome SwSEns into their lessons and take duty in forming atmospheres that are favorable to their learning. The time required to appear extra meetings, whole paperwork, and cooperate with experts was unjust when related to the time enthusiastic to the other students in the class. Teacher's insights about developing IE must be endured in mind as there is a prospect that their insights can alter their behavior and recognition towards SwDs. Moreover, the attitudes and concerns of mainstream educators can have some posture on the success of IE policies. Variables and demographics like educator's gender, age, requirement, experience, type, and severity of disability can have an impact on the attitude and concerns of teachers about the inclusion of children with disabilities. Investigators have shown a comparison of the attitude of educators and that educator's perception of the efficiency level of inclusion is important because they are the key persons responsible for the execution of inclusive services.

The objectives of study

1. To find out the positive attitudes of educators towards inclusive education.
2. To find out the negative attitudes of educators towards inclusive education.

The Positive attitudes of educators towards IE

Various studies have engaged in the history further overawed studies to describe the positive attitudes towards IE. The attitudes that educators had toward specific features of IE and the degree and way in which the instructional contextual, educator training, and teaching experience of these educators contributed toward these attitudes were observed. Educators' attitudes seem to vary with their insights of the inclusion according to teaching experience; further studies concerning teaching experience showed that

younger educators and those with fewer years of experience are more reassuring of inclusion. However, although the above studies point to those fresher educators and those with littler years of experience are more helpful in inclusive; other researchers have stated that teaching experience was not expressively associated with educators' studies. According to Parasuram (2006) ^[38] educators' attitude is one of the extremely important variables in the education of CwDs. Attitudes of regular educators in the city of Mumbai, India, toward disabilities and inclusion of SwDs into regular schools were planned through the practice of two attitude scales. The study discovered that while some of the variables of concern did affect educators' attitudes towards disabilities, the only variable that affected educators' attitudes towards inclusion was earlier consociate with a PwD. Therefore, this study has revealed that interaction is a significant variable in affecting the attitude of educators towards disabilities and towards IE. Educators' attitudes exhibit a dynamic role in student education. In IE, teachers' attitudes can affect the execution and distribution of education. Salem (2013) ^[42] shown that the positive attitude towards the inclusion of disabled students is one of the requirements of the success of IE. Not only is the positive attitude of the educator significant, but the positive style of the society towards the inclusion of disabled persons is required to accomplish the preferred victory and the purpose of IE. Salem specified that the educator is the most powerful person in the procedure of education. When the attitudes and insights of the educator need shifting, the procedure needs to initiate early in the practice at the grounds of skill development. The attitudes that general classroom educators have toward IE performs influence teaching practices and eventually student education.

The attitudes of educators are established ineffective and less active teaching practices that influence student education (Taylor & Ringlaben, 2012) ^[45]. Therefore, this study was important as addressed this difficulty. The study of the attitudes that classroom educators had toward specific features of IE and the investigation of these attitudes with admiration to the instructional contextual, teacher training, and teaching experience delivered insights to discourse this difficult through providing educator training and knowledge to support positive attitudes toward the renowned features of IE. The findings from this study can be used to update policy ranges concerning educator training requirements that begin normal classroom educators to show in the IE classroom atmosphere and to guide in-service educator training settings. The results of this study were used to progress a professional development prospectus to be accessible to educators in the local school setting in which this study was showed. Yet, these attitudes, as well as their personal effects on involved students may be lessened by positive attitudes of other leading school staff. Study findings determined that it is not only the regular education educators who need to have positive attitudes for the achievement of inclusion programs. The outcomes also showed that effective inclusion is reliant on the positive attitude of special education educators as well. The aim of the study titled 'An empirical study on educators' insights towards IE in Malaysia' conducted by Mohammad *et al.* (2006) was to inspect educators' attitudes and their supposed knowledge towards IE in Malaysia. The main results displayed that the educators have positive attitudes towards IE. They approved that IE improves social

interaction and inclusion among the students and thus, it reduces negative stereotypes on special needs students. The results also presented that association between the mainstream and the special education educators is significant and that there should be a clear advice on the execution of IE. The aim of the study 'Attitudes towards inclusion: Gaps between belief and practice' accompanied by Hwang (2010) ^[28] was to explore the attitudes of general education educators in the Nation of Korea towards inclusion. The study observed the readiness of educators to teach CwDs, their concepts concerning the positive and negative effects of inclusion, and the applied problems knowledgeable by educators endeavoring to contain SwDs in their classroom. Educators with positive attitudes towards inclusion could be disinclined to impart SwDs in their general classes. A common of educators, however, assumed SwDs required focused services in special education classrooms, and were regarding that these professional services may be missing if they were educated in a general setting.

The Negative attitudes of educators towards IE

Several studies have been involved in the past more impressed studies to define the negative attitudes towards IE. According to Stafford and Green (1996) ^[24], the attitude of the educators involved in groundwork and executing an inclusive platform is forceful to its accomplishment. Thus, the educator's attitude is a vital element in the triumph of the inclusive classroom. Therefore, it is significant to inspect those features in in-service and pre-service programs that enable an educator's progress of positive attitudes toward inclusion. The study displayed that as educators' experience with CwSEs enlarged, their self-assurance to impart these children also improved. The indication appears to designate that educators' negative or neutral attitudes at the inauguration of an innovation such as IE may modification over time as a purpose of experience and the proficiency that develops through the procedure of execution (Leroy & Simpson, 1996) ^[31]. The negative attitudes toward IE encompass beyond by the educators in the present-day local school district, and they are thoughtful of attitudes taken by general classroom educators in school districts all through the United States (Berry, 2010) ^[5]. General classroom educators endure to have negative attitudes toward IE performs. The negative attitudes that educators have toward IE can have an unfavorable influence on student learning and may obstruct the achievement of the IE model (Cassady, 2011) ^[11]. These added duties and prospects frequently range beyond the space of teaching concern and capability of many general classroom educators and increase to the current demands of their general classroom education. As a result of these distended stresses, many general classroom educators have negative attitudes toward IE (Andrews & Frankel, 2010 ^[20], McCray & McHatton, 2011) ^[34]. While investigators have sustained to discourse negative attitudes toward IE and academics have observed several features linked with negative attitudes toward IE teaching practices, negative attitudes endure to persevere among classroom educators (Obiakor, Harris, Mutua, Rotatori, & Algozzine, 2012) ^[37]. Assumed the destructive impact that negative attitudes can have on student learning and ultimately the IE model (McMaster, 2013) ^[29], added study was essential to enhancement a better knowledge of the attitudes that educators have toward IE. It was not approved

what attitudes educators had towards IE to the extent under study. Hattie emphasized the significance of educator attitudes as a vital issue contributing toward student learning via the effect that educator attitudes have on teaching practices and the classroom atmosphere.

The general classroom educators sustained to express concerns and discontent with the inferences that IE has for their general classroom education parts and duties. These educators uttered disquiets with the added duty of education the general classroom program to SwDs. These concerns and disapproval were established in negative attitudes that took external and inside of the classroom (personal observations, 2014-2015). Taylor and Ringlaben (2012) ^[45] emphasized the destructive impact of negative attitudes toward IE as these attitudes cover throughout the school culture, and these attitudes outcome in teaching practices that obstruct student learning. The goal of this study was to classify the features of inclusionary education practices that lead to negative attitudes and to study the system in which teacher education and training settings and teaching practices are related to these attitudes. The majority of these educators, who were presently partaking in inclusive programs, had durable negative feelings about inclusion. The educators recognized numerous features that would affect the achievement of inclusion, such as class size, insufficient resources, and the absence of adequate training. The educators indicated several issues that would disturb the achievement of inclusion, containing class extent, insufficient means, the point to which all students would advantage from inclusion and lack of suitable educator groundwork. Some of the mainstream educators appealed that they had selected to teach a precise discipline and not special education, and the inclusion policy required them to arrive extents they were uncertain about or not involved in it. The range to these negative attitudes perseveres in a local school background and the extent to which these attitudes are linked with teacher education, teacher training, and teaching experience was the emphasis of this study. This division starts with the contextual on the study difficult and the base for addressing this difficult. The purpose of this study and the reliable study inquiries are then offered. The terms and ideas relevant to this project study are then distinct, and the implication of this study is offered. This segment determines with a review of the investigation works supporting this study.

Discussion

The present study discovered that those educators' understanding and skills for increasing inclusive teaching practices, as well as the reassurance of a change in current values, beliefs, and attitudes, were vital to ensure full partaking of all children in school environments. The practical problems, as well as students with disabilities and diverse academic needs into general classrooms, seem in all nations around the world. Effective execution of the policy of inclusion is contingent mainly on educators having the understanding, skills, and capability required to make it work. Educators' attitudes were moreover inclined by the level of disability when they are inquired to accommodate CwDs within their classroom. Most of the educators were incapable to find adequate time for the submission of inclusive practices. Furthermore, educators supposed that the full-time assignment of students with mild disabilities will not have positive social welfares for these students. The

educators indicated various issues that would upset the achievement of inclusion, containing class extent, insufficient means, the range to which all students would advantage from inclusion, and the absence of suitable teacher preparation. Some of the normal educators demanded that they select to teach a precise discipline and not special education, and the inclusion policy required them to enter parts they were uncertain about or not involved in it. The purpose of this reviews are to offer a beneficial resource to support investigators and experts to recognize the most suitable tools to degree educators' attitudes and to pinpoint, for instance, extents of potential training required to progress more positive attitudes, and/or to calculate the victory or else of involvements meant at developing more inclusive practice. The attitudes of educators can be a reinforcement to encounter the requirements of a wider choice of SwDs in mainstream education classrooms to enable the successful execution of inclusive education.

Conclusion

Educators' attitudes were furthermore moved by the level of disability when they are considered to accommodate CwDs within their inclusive classroom. Educators with more positive attitudes on inclusion had more self-assurance in their capability to deliver students in inclusive environments, and to modify classroom resources and procedures to accommodate their requirements. Their attitudes and understanding about IE are significant as these are the displays of such inclination. However, educators and managers may usage the outcomes of the present study to enhance their learning, self-efficacy, and attitudes. Most of the educators were unable to find adequate time for the submission of inclusive practices. Moreover, educators supposed that full-time recompense of students with mild disabilities will not have positive social benefits for these students.

Reference

1. Albarracín D, Johnson BT, Zanna MP, Kumkale GT. Attitudes: Introduction and scope. *The handbook of attitudes*. 2005; 3-19.
2. Avramidis E, Norwich B. Teachers' attitudes towards integration/inclusion: a review of the literature, *European Journal of special needs education*. 2002; 17(2):129-147.
3. Avramidis E, Bayliss P, Burden R. A survey into mainstream teachers' attitudes towards the inclusion of children with special educational needs in the ordinary school in one local education authority, *Educational psychology*. 2000; 20(2):191-211.
4. Baker FB. The basics of item response theory. For full text: 2001, <http://ericae.net/irt/baker..>
5. Berry H, Guillén MF, Zhou N. An institutional approach to cross-national distance, *Journal of international business studies*. 2010; 41(9):1460-1480.
6. Blasco J, Aleixos N, Moltó E. Machine vision system for automatic quality grading of fruit Bio systems engineering. 2003; 85(4):415-423.
7. Blecker NS, Boakes NJ. Creating a learning environment for all children: Are teachers able and willing?, *International Journal of Inclusive Education*. 2010; 14(5):435-447.

8. Boyle C, Topping K, Jindal-Snape D. Teachers' attitudes towards inclusion in high schools, *Teachers and Teaching*. 2013; 19(5):527-542.
9. Bradshaw L, Mundia L. Attitudes to and concerns about inclusive education: Bruneian inservice and preservice teachers, *International Journal of special education*. 2006; 21(1):35-41.
10. Brackenreed D. Inclusive education: Identifying teachers' strategies for coping with perceived stressors in inclusive classrooms, *Canadian Journal of educational administration and Policy*, 2011.
11. Cassidy JM. Teachers' attitudes toward the inclusion of students with autism and emotional behavioral disorder, *Electronic Journal for inclusive education*. 2011; 2(7):5.
12. Center Y, Ward J. Teachers' attitudes towards the integration of disabled children into regular schools, *The Exceptional Child*. 1987; 34(1):41-56.
13. Chiner E, Cardona MC. Inclusive education in Spain: how do skills, resources, and supports affect regular education teachers' perceptions of inclusion?, *International Journal of inclusive education*. 2013; 17(5):526-541.
14. Clough P, Lindsay G. Integration and the Support Service (Slough, NFER). Cook, BG (2001) A Comparison of Teachers' attitudes toward their included students with mild and severe disabilities, *The Journal of special education*. 1991; 34(4):203-213.
15. Cooper C, Fisher D, Gupta N, MaCauley R, Pessoa-Silva CL. Infection prevention and control of the Ebola outbreak in Liberia, 2014-2015: Key challenges and successes *BMC medicine*. 2016; 14(1):1-6.
16. De Boer A, Pijl SJ, Minnaert A. Regular primary schoolteachers' attitudes towards inclusive education: A review of the literature, *International Journal of inclusive education*. 2011; 15(3):331-353.
17. De Boer A, Pijl SJ, Minnaert A. Regular primary schoolteachers' attitudes towards inclusive education: A review of the literature, *International Journal of inclusive education*. 2011; 15(3):331-353.
18. EADSNE. (European Agency for Development in Special Needs Education), *Special Needs Education, Country Data*, 2012.
19. EADSNE M. Special education across Europe in trends in provision in 18 European countries, *European Agency for development in special needs education*, 2003.
20. Frankel EB, Gold S, Ajodhia-Andrews A. International preschool inclusion: Bridging the gap between vision and practices, young exceptional children. 2010; 13(5):2-16.
21. Fuchs C. Alternative media as critical media, *European Journal of social theory*. 2010; 13(2):173-192.
22. Goodman RL, Burton DM. The inclusion of students with BESD in mainstream schools: Teachers' experiences of and recommendations for creating a successful inclusive environment, *Emotional and behavioural difficulties*. 2010; 15(3):223-237.
23. Grima-Farrell CR, Bain A, McDonagh SH. Bridging the research-to-practice gap: A review of the literature focusing on inclusive education, *Australasian Journal of Special Education The*. 2011; 35(2):117.
24. Green MF. What are the functional consequences of neurocognitive deficits in schizophrenia? *The American Journal of psychiatry*, 1996.
25. Gyimah-Brempong K, Paddison O, Mitiku W. Higher education and economic growth in Africa, *The Journal of development studies*. 2006; 42(3):509-529.
26. Heyneman SP. The future of UNESCO: Strategies for attracting new resources, *International Journal of educational development*. 2011; 31(3):313-314.
27. Henerson ME, Morris LL, Fitz-Gibbon CT. How to measure attitudes Sage, 1987.
28. Hwang GJ, Tsai CC. Research trends in mobile and ubiquitous learning: A review of publications in selected journals from 2001 to 2010, *British Journal of educational technology*. 2011; 42(4):E65-E70.
29. King DM, Tuna F, McInnes EJ, McMaster J, Lewis W, Blake AJ, Liddle ST. Isolation and characterization of a uranium (VI) – nitride triple bond *Nature chemistry*. 2013; 5(6):482-488.
30. Kilanowski-Press L, Foote CJ, Rinaldo VJ. Inclusion Classrooms and Teachers: A Survey of Current Practices, *International Journal of special education*. 2010; 25(3):43-56.
31. LeRoy B, Simpson C. Improving student outcomes through inclusive education, *Support for learning*. 1996; 11(1):32-36.
32. Malinen OP, Savolainen H, Engelbrecht P, Xu J, Nel M, Nel N, Tlale D. Exploring teacher self-efficacy for inclusive practices in three diverse countries, *Teaching and Teacher Education*. 2013; 33:34-44.
33. McHatton PA, McCray ED. Inclination toward inclusion: Perceptions of elementary and secondary education teacher candidates, *Action in teacher education*. 2007; 29(3):25-32.
34. McCray ED, McHatton PA. "Less afraid to have them in my classroom": understanding pre-service general educators' perceptions about inclusion, *Teacher Education Quarterly*. 2011; 38(4):135-155.
35. Mohammad S, Hirst G. Distributional measures of concept-distance: A task-oriented evaluation, in *proceedings of the 2006 conference on empirical methods in natural language processing*, 2006, 35-43.
36. Norwich B. Segregation and Inclusion. *English LEA Statistics 1988-92, Centre for studies on inclusive education*, 1 Redland Close, Elm Lane, Redland, Bristol BS6 6UE England (5 British pounds sterling), 1994.
37. Obiakor FE, Harris M, Mutua K, Rotatori A, Algozzine B. Making inclusion work in general education classrooms, *Education and treatment of children*. 2012; 35(3):477-490.
38. Parasuram K. Variables that affect teachers' attitudes towards disability and inclusive education in Mumbai, India, *disability & society*. 2006; 21(3):231-242.
39. Pearson V, Lo E, Chui E, Wong D. A heart to learn and care? Teachers' responses toward special needs children in mainstream schools in Hong Kong, *Disability & Society*. 2003; 18(4):489-508.
40. Polat F. Inclusion in education: A step towards social justice, *International Journal of Educational Development*. 2011; 31(1):50-58.
41. Richins ML, Dawson S. A consumer values orientation for materialism and its measurement: Scale development and validation, *Journal of consumer research*. 1992; 19(3):303-316.
42. Salem AA. The Impact of teaching academic education course of children with special needs in the ordinary schools on students' Attitudes toward Inclusion of

- Disabled Children, Journal of Education and Learning. 2013; 2(2):112-125.
43. Saloviita T. Attitudes of teachers towards inclusive education in Finland, Scandinavian Journal of educational research. 2020; 64(2):270-282.
 44. Subban P, Sharma U. Primary school teachers' perceptions of inclusive education in Victoria, Australia, International Journal of special education. 2006; 21(1):42-52.
 45. Taylor RW, Ringlaben RP. Impacting Pre-Service Teachers' Attitudes toward Inclusion, Higher Education Studies. 2012; 2(3):16-23.
 46. Tomasik M. Effective inclusion activities for high school students with multiple disabilities, Journal of visual impairment & blindness. 2007; 101(10):657-659.
 47. Van Reusen AK, Shoho AR, Barker KS. High school teacher attitudes toward inclusion, The High School Journal. 2000; 84(2):7-20.
 48. Zhu Y, Loso MR, Watson GB, Sparks TC, Rogers RB, Huang JX *et al.* Discovery and characterization of sulfoxaflor, a novel insecticide targeting sap-feeding pests, Journal of agricultural and food chemistry. 2011; 59(7):2950-2957.